

ITEM NO.301

COURT NO.4

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).3119-3120/2014

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 13/03/2014
in CRLA No. 1398/2013 13/03/2014 in CRLA No. 1399/2013 13/03/2014
in DSR No. 6/2013 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi)

MUKESH & ANR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE FOR NCT OF DELHI

Respondent(s)

WITH

SLP(Crl) No. 5027-5028/2014
(With Office Report)

Date : 18/07/2016 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHUSHAN

Mr. Raju Ramachandran, Sr. Adv. (AC)
Mr. K. Parameshwar, Adv.
Ms. Mythili Vijay Kumar Thakam, Adv.
Mr. Vikram Aditya Narayan, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Sr. Adv. (AC)
Mr. Anil Kumar Mishra-I, Adv.
Mr. S. Nithin, Adv.
Mr. Atal Shankar Vinod, Adv.
Mr. Parnjal Kishore, Adv.

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Manohar Lal Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Suman Adv.

Mr. Nitin Kumar Thakur, AOR

Mr. G.S. Mani, Adv.
 Mr. A.P. Singh, Adv.
 Mr. B.P. Sinha, Adv.
 Ms. Geeta Singh Chauhan, Adv.
 Ms. Richa Singh, Adv.
 Ms. Pratima Rani, Adv.
 Mr. A.A. Raj, Adv. Mr. M. M. Kashyap, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Sidharth Luthra, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. D.S. Mahra, AOR
 Mr. Ajay Sharma, Adv.
 Ms. Supriya Juneja, Adv.
 Mr. V. Gandhi, Adv.
 Mr. S. Chaudhary, Adv.
 Mr. K.L. Janjani, Adv.
 Mr. Jaggi, Adv.

Mr. Jaspreet S. Rai, Adv.
 Mr. Ishan Rohan, Adv.
 Mr. Siddhant Sharma, Adv.
 Mr. Shyamal Kumar, AOR

Mr. Rajun Bobde, Adv.
 Ms. Richa Relhan, Adv.
 Ms. Sanyya Pawar, Adv.
 Ms. Prameeta Bose, Adv.
 Rajat Joseph, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
 O R D E R

At the commencement of the hearing, Mr. Raju Ramachandran and Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, learned senior counsel who have been appointed as friends of the Court expressed some reservation regard being had to some kind of communication by two of the petitioners.

We can appreciate the anguish expressed by the learned amici curiae. We have clarified vide our order dated 11.07.2016 about the role ascribed to the amicus curiae by the court. We repeat at the cost of repetition that this court has complete faith in the intellectual integrity in the objective assistance of the learned amici curiae who have been appointed by this Court and, therefore, they should not feel any agony on any score. Their duty is to assist the Court and we are sure they will do the same. We may hasten to clarify, the learned friends of the Court shall assist

the Court with regard to the case and not with regard to any particular petitioner.

Heard Mr. Manohar Lal Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioners. The matter remains part heard.

Let the matter be listed for further hearing at 2.00 p.m. on 22.07.2016.

(Gulshan Kumar Arora)
Court Master

(H.S. Parasher)
Court Master