

ITEM NO.15

COURT NO.2

SECTION PIL(W)

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 268/2017

SUBHASH CHANDER KATYAL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

Respondent(s)

Date : 24/04/2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR

For Petitioner(s) Dr. Balram Singh, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Kumar Talesara, AOR
Mr. Ekansh Bansal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard Dr. Balram Singh, along with Mr. Ajay Kumar Talesara,
learned counsel for the petitioner.

In the present writ petition preferred under Article 32 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for issuance of a writ of mandamus and appropriate directions commanding the respondents, namely, the Union of India and all the States and Union Territories to incorporate detailed life history and teachings of all the ten Sikh Gurus along with *Guru Granth Saheb* in syllabus of all the classes in history books for teaching. It is urged in the petition that the life history of Sikh Gurus and history of Sikhs have not been given appropriate place in history books whereas there is mention of other historical personalities and different rulers.

Without entering into the merits, suffice it to say that what shall be taught in the schools or what shall be included in the

syllabus of all classes cannot be directed by this Court in exercise of power of judicial review and also in exercise of power relating to entertaining public interest litigation where rule of locus is not insisted upon and the scope and ambit have been exercised. The present case comes within the realm of public interest litigation.

According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, the cause of public interest would be best sub-served if this Court directs the respondents to incorporate complete history and teachings of Gurus in the syllabus of history books of all classes.

Be it noted, despite expanded horizon, public interest litigation has its own limitations. Any litigant should not feel, when he files a public interest litigation that his hope and aspirations for anything and everything deserves to crystallise. He should not harbour the feelings that for any idea to be fructified, he can knock at the doors of this Court under Article 32 of the Constitution of India. The broad canvass that is sought to be painted in this petition, as it appears to us, does not come within the domain and sphere of the public interest litigation.

In view of the aforesaid analysis, we do not perceive any merit in this petition and accordingly the same stands dismissed.

(Gulshan Kumar Arora)
Court Master

(H.S. Parasher)
Court Master