IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1990 OF 2019

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

EX. LT. SELINA JOHN

RESPONDENT(S)

ORDER

The conclusion drawn in the impugned judgment that the respondent – Ex. Lt. Selina John's release from the Military Nursing Service was wrong and illegal, does not require any interference, in spite of the arguments raised, questioning the reasoning given by the Armed Force Tribunal, Regional Bench, Lucknow.

Ex. Lt. Selina John, who was a Permanent Commissioned Officer in the Military Nursing Service, could have been released/discharged on the ground that she had got married. This rule, it is accepted, was applicable to only women nursing officers. Such rule was exfacie manifestly arbitrary, as terminating employment because the woman has got married is a coarse case of gender discrimination and inequality. Acceptance of such patriarchal rule undermines human dignity, right to non-discrimination and fair treatment. Laws and regulations based on gender-based bias are constitutionally

impermissible.¹ Rules making marriage of women employees and their domestic involvement a ground for disentitlement would be unconstitutional.²

We may also observe that Army Instruction No. 61 of 1977 titled "Terms and conditions of service for the grant of permanent commissions in the Military Nursing Service", as informed, has been withdrawn by a subsequent letter dated 29.08.1995.

During the course of hearing, it was fairly pointed out by the learned counsel for the respondent that the respondent – Ex. Lt. Selina John did work as a nurse for short time in a private organisation.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, we direct the appellant(s) to pay compensation of Rs.60,00,000/- (rupees sixty lakh only) to the respondent - Ex. Lt. Selina John within a period of eight weeks from the date a copy of this order is served/made available to them.

In case the payment is not made within a period of eight weeks, the appellant(s) will pay interest at the rate of 12 per cent per annum from the date of this order till the payment is made.

The aforesaid compensation of Rs.60,00,000/- (rupees sixty lakhs) will be in full and final settlement of all the claims of the respondent – Ex. Lt. Selina John against the appellant(s).

The impugned judgment directing the reinstatement of the respondent – Ex. Lt. Selina John with back-wages etc. will be

¹ Anuj Garg and Others v. Hotel Association of India and Others (2008) 3 SCC 1.

² C.B. Muthamma v. Union of India (1979) 4 SCC 260.

treated as modified in terms of the above direction.

Recording the aforesaid, the appeal is disposed of in the above terms.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(SANJIV KHANNA)
J. (DIPANKAR DATTA)

NEW DELHI; FEBRUARY 14, 2024. ITEM NO.101 COURT NO.2 SECTION XVII

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

<u>Civil Appeal No(s)</u>. 1990/2019

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

EX. LT. SELINA JOHN

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 3/2016 - STAY APPLICATION)

Date: 14-02-2024 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA

For Appellant(s) Mr. Vikramjit Banerjee, A.S.G.

Mr. R. Bala, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Mukul Singh, Adv.

Mr. VVV Pattabhi Ram, Adv.

Mr. Neelakshi Bhadauria, Adv.

Dr. Arun Kr Yadav, Dy. Gov, Adv.

Mr. Ishan Sarma, Adv.

Mr. Aditya Diksit, Adv.

Dr. N. Visakamurthy, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ajit Kakkar, Adv.

Mr. Santosh Kumar Pandey, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel, the Court made the following O R D E R

The appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(BABITA PANDEY)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(R.S. NARAYANAN)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file)