ITEM NO.23 COURT NO.1 SECTION X

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 124/2017

SUDHA MISHRA Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA THROUGH SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF FINANCE, DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC AFFAIRS & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No.59203/2017-INTERVENTION APPLICATION and IA No.59205/2017-APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS)

WITH

W.P.(C) No. 140/2017 (X)

(and IA No.56859/2017-impleading party)

W.P.(C) No. 136/2017 (X)

W.P.(C) No. 149/2017 (X)

W.P.(C) No. 167/2017 (X)

W.P.(C) No. 169/2017 (X)

W.P.(C) No. 206/2017 (X)

W.P.(C) No. 289/2017 (X)

W.P. (C) No. 262/2017 (X)

W.P.(C) No. 285/2017 (X)

W.P.(C) No. 626/2017 (X)

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.66442/2017-APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS)

W.P.(C) No. 559/2017 (X)

(FOR ADMISSION)

W.P.(C) No. 604/2017 (X)

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.64381/2017-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No.64380/2017-CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION)

T.P.(C) No. 1425/2017 (XVI-A)

(FOR ADMISSION)

W.P.(C) No. 762/2017 (X)

(FOR ADMISSION)

Date: 03-11-2017 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD

For Petitioner(s)

WP (C) 124/2017 Mr. S.B. Upadhyay, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Ashutosh Thakur, Adv.

Mr. Sumit Kumar, Adv.

	Mar Brimesh Kamera Balan
	Mr. Animesh Kumar, Adv. Mr. Rana Prashant, Adv.
	Mr. Rohit Kumar, Adv.
	Mr. Nitesh Ranjan, Adv.
	Mr. Neeraj Shekhar, AOR
	Mr. Neeraj Shekhar, Aok
WP (C) 136/2017	Mr. Siddharth Khattar, Adv.
	Mr. Kush Chaturvedi, AOR
WP (C) 169/2017	Mr. Puneet Jain, Adv.
	Ms. Ankita Gupta, Adv.
(*) 006/0045	Mr. Dharas Caraban, Ada
WP (C) 206/2017	Mr. Dhruv Gautam, Adv.
	Ms. Manisha Ambwani, AOR
WP (C) 262/2017	Ms. Pankaj Bala Verma, Adv.
	Dr. (Mrs.) Vipin Gupta, AOR
WP (C) 285/2017	Mr. Amol V. Deshmukh, Adv.
WE (C) 203/2017	Ms. Kanchan Vohra, Adv.
	Mr. Dilip Annasaheb Taur, AOR
	mr. birip minasanes raur, non
WP (C) 626/2017	Mr. Attin S. Rastogi, Adv.
	Mr. Rajeev Ranjan, Adv.
	Ms. Pallavi Chopra, Adv.
	Mr. D.N. Goburdhan, AOR
WP (C) 559/2017	Mr. Amit Saxena, Adv.
WI (C) 333,201,	Mr. Mohit D. Ram, AOR
	III. Home D. Ham, Hor
WP (C) 604/2017	Mr. Sanjay Kharde, Adv.
	Mr. Samrat Shinde, Adv.
	Mr. Sunil Kumar Verma, AOR
	Mr. Pranav Sachdeva, AOR
	Mr. Purvish Jitendra Malkan, AOR
	Ms. Pratibha Jain, AOR
	Mr. Anjani Kumar Mishra, AOR
	Mr. Nikhil Jain, AOR
Ear Dosmandant (a)	
For Respondent(s)	Mr. D. Bologubromonian Adv
WP (C) 124/2017	Mr. R. Balasubramanian, Adv.
	Ms. Aarti Sharma, Adv.
	Mr. Santosh Kumar Viswakarma, Adv
WP (C) 136/2017	Ms. Harleen Bains, Adv.
(for R-4)	Ms. Mansi Kapur, Adv.
	Ms. Shubhra Kapur, Adv.
	Mr. Sanjay Kapur, AOR
WD (C) 104 c 106/0017	Mr. K.K. Venugenal AC
WF (C) 124 & 136/2U1/	Mr. K.K. Venugopal, AG
	Mr. R. Bala, Adv.

Ms. Diksha Rai, Adv. Mr. Devashish Barukha, Adv. Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

WP (C) 124, 140, 136, 149, 167, 169/2017 Mr. Kuldeep S. Parihar, Adv. (for R-2 & 3) Mr. H.S. Parihar, AOR

WP(C) No. 124/2017, W.P.(C) No. 140/2017, W.P.(C) No. 136/2017, W.P.(C) No. 149/2017, W.P.(C) No. 167/2017, W.P.(C) No. 206/2017, W.P.(C) No. 289/2017, W.P.(C) No. 262/2017, W.P.(C) No. 285/2017, W.P.(C) No. 626/2017, W.P.(C) No. 559/2017, W.P.(C) No. 604/2017, T.P.(C) No. 1425/2017 and W.P.(C) No. 762/2017

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. K.K. Venugopal, learned Attorney General for the Union of India, we think it appropriate that the petitioners should file application(s) for intervention/impleadment in Writ Petition (Civil) no. 906 of 2016 (Vivek Narayan Sharma vs. Union of India). It is hereby made clear that they can assist the Court the is argued with regard to matter constitutional validity of the law. That apart, their individual grievances may be dealt with by the Constitution Bench, keeping in view the decision of the larger Bench, or if it is otherwise possible.

The instant petitions are accordingly disposed of.

All pending interlocutory applications are also disposed of.

W.P.(C) No. 169/2017

It is submitted by Mr. Puneet Jain, learned

counsel for the petitioner, that the writ petition has become infructuous.

It is accordingly disposed of.

When we say that the writ petition has become infructuous, it has to be made clear that the Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the lis.

(Deepak Guglani) Court Master (H.S. Parasher)
Assistant Registrar