# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

#### Civil Appeal No.4044 of 2020

Rajkumar Brothers And Production Private Limited

...Appellant(s)

#### **Versus**

Harish Amilineni Shareholder and erstwhile Director of Amilionn Technologies Private Limited & Anr.

...Respondent(s)

### ORDER

- This appeal under Section 62 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016
  is against an order dated 10<sup>th</sup> August, 2020 passed by the National
  Company Law Appellate Tribunal (NCLAT), New Delhi allowing Company
  Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No.212 of 2020 filed by the Respondent.
- The Appellant had filed a petition under Section 9 of the IBC before the National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT) Hyderabad, being CP(IB) No.737/9/HDB/2019. Notice on the said petition was issued by the NCLT on 21<sup>st</sup> November, 2019.
- 3. By an Order dated 9<sup>th</sup> January, 2020, the NCLT admitted the petition observing that the claim of the Appellant was undisputed. Aggrieved by the order dated 9<sup>th</sup> January, 2020, the Respondent filed above mentioned appeal before the NCLAT. By the order impugned in this appeal, the NCLAT has set aside the order of the NCLT, holding that there were pre-existing disputes between the Respondent and the Appellant. The aforesaid finding is based on various documents.

4. The NCLAT set aside the impugned order of the NCLT and dismissed the

application of the appellant under Section 9 of the IBC. The Appellant has

challenged the impugned order only to the extent of the direction in

paragraph 8(C) thereof, which reads as follows:

"The IRP/RP will place particulars regarding CIRP costs and fees before the Adjudicating Authority and the Adjudicationg Authority after examining the correctness of the same will direct the Operational Creditor to pay the same in time to be specified by the

Adjudicating Authority."

5. The direction is in the nature of costs of the proceedings under Section 7 of

the IBC, which have been found to be unsustainable in law. The Respondent

having succeeded, cannot be saddled with the costs of the Corporate

Insolvency Resolution Process (CIRP) initiated at the behest of the Appellant

or with the fees of the Interim Resolution Professional (IRP). The direction

does not warrant interference in appeal.

6. We find no grounds to interfere with the order dated 10<sup>th</sup> August, 2020

passed by the National Company Law Appellate Tribunal in Company Appeal

(AT) (Insolvency) No.212 of 2020.

7. The Civil Appeal is accordingly dismissed.

[Indira Banerjee]

[Sanjiv Khanna]

**New Delhi**; January 22, 2021

2

## SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s).4044/2020

RAJKUMAR BROTHERS AND PRODUCTION PRIVATE LIMITED Appellant(s)

**VERSUS** 

HARISH AMILINENI SHAREHOLDER AND ERSTWHILE DIRECTOR
OF AMILIONN TECHNOLOGIES PRIVATE LIMITED & ANR. Respondent(s)

(WITH IA No.131619/2020-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.131618/2020-STAY APPLICATION)

Date: 22-01-2021 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDIRA BANERJEE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

For Appellant(S) Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Adv.

Ms. Garima Prashad, AOR Ms. Ankita Pandey, Adv. Mr. Imtiyaz, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Raavi Yogesh Venkata, AOR

### UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R

- 1 The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.
- 2 Pending applications stand disposed of.

(SANJAY KUMAR-I) (SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)
AR-CUM-PS COURT MASTER
(Signed order is placed on the file)