COURT NO.12

SUPREME COURTOF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 16987-16988/2023 (Arising out of impugned final judgment dated 20-03-2023 in FA No. 1018/2019 and in FA No. 1036/2019 passed by the National Consumers Disputes Redressal Commission, New Delhi)

BANARSI DEVI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PINK CITY HEART AND GENERAL HOSPITAL & ORS. Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION)

WITH

<u>SLP(C) No. 17008/2023 (XVII-A)</u> (FOR ADMISSION and IA No.153506/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 11-09-2023 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM NATH HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH

Ms.	Hiresh Choudhary, Adv. Surbhi Sharma, Adv. Atul Shankar Vinod, AOR

- Mr. Avnish Dave, Adv. Ms. Archana Pathak Dave, AOR Mr. Aadhar Saha, Adv. Mr. Parmod Kumar Vishnoi, Adv.
- MI. Parmou Kumar VISIMOI, Auv
- Ms. Riya Sethi, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Puja Dewan, AOR Mr. Vizzy Aggarwal, Adv. Mr. Uday Seth, Adv.

> Mr. S.L. Gupta, Adv. Mr. Varinder Kr. Sharma, AOR Mr. Asutosh Sharma, Adv. Ms. Gunjan Sharma, Adv. Mr. Kuldeep Singh Tomar, Adv. Mr. Vikas Chandra, Adv. Ms. Shefali Mitra, Adv.

Mr. Himanshu Gandhi, Adv.

Ms. Megha Karanwal, AOR Mr/Ms. A. Mina, Adv. Ms. Apeksha Tiwari, Adv. Mr. Lalit Rajput, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R

SLP(C) Nos. 16987-16988/2023

learned counsel Ms. Archana Pathak Dave, appearing for the petitioner states that although she has instructions to withdraw these petitions, however petitioner has a serious doubt as to whether which High Court to approach; the jurisdictional High Court where the State Commission had passed the order or the High Court where the National Commission is situated. The choice is of the petitioner to decide as to which High Court to approach, as per the Judgment of this Court in the case of 'M/s. Universal Sompo General Insurance Co. Ltd. vs. Suresh Chand Jain & Anr.' passed in SLP(Civil) No. 5263 of 2023 decided on 26.07.2023.

Learned counsel for the respondents have an objection to the option being exercised by the petitioner in choosing the High Court.

In case, the respondents wish to file objection regarding jurisdiction they would be free to raise it before the High Court.

2

The Special Leave Petitions are dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

<u>SLP(C) No. 17008/2023</u>

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) states that he has instructions to withdraw the Special Leave Petition to avail the remedy before the jurisdictional High Court in view of the judgment in the case of M/s Universal Sompo (supra).

Accordingly, the Special Leave Petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

(SONIA BHASIN) COURT MASTER (SH) (RANJANA SHAILEY) COURT MASTER (NSH)