ITEM NO.4 COURT NO.7 SECTION II-B

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).15265/2023

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 03-11-2023 in CRM-M No. 14673/2023 passed by the High Court Of Punjab & Haryana At Chandigarh)

KAMALJIT KAUR Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF PUNJAB

Respondent(s)

(IA No.244833/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Date: 01-12-2023 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HRISHIKESH ROY HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KAROL

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Rishi Malhotra, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard Mr. Rishi Malhotra, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

2. Praying for pre-arrest bail for the petitioner who is a lady aged about 61 years, Mr. Malhotra submits that all the other Sections in the FIR are bailable barring the charge under Section 376(2)(n) IPC. Insofar as the non-bailable charge is concerned, the counsel relies on the decision in the case of *Priya Patel vs. State of Madhya Pradesh* [2006 (6) SCC 263] to argue that a woman cannot be charged with committing rape. With this, notwithstanding

the possible role in violation of the victim by co-accused, the learned counsel would submit that the petitioner – who is an aged woman is entitled to be considered favourably, for pre-arrest bail.

- 3. Issue notice, returnable in four weeks. Dasti notice on the Standing Counsel for the State, in addition.
- 4. In the meantime, the petitioner is protected from arrest. But she is expected to cooperate with the investigation of the crime.

(DEEPAK JOSHI)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(KAMLESH RAWAT)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR